

Mass Density Perturbations from Inflation with Thermal Dissipation

Wolung Lee and Li-Zhi Fang

Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

We study the power spectrum of the mass density perturbations in an inflation scenario that includes thermal dissipation. We show that the condition on which the thermal fluctuations dominate the primordial density perturbations can easily be realized even for weak dissipation, *i.e.*, the rate of dissipation is less than the Hubble expansion. We find that our spectrum of primordial density perturbations follows a power law behavior, and exhibits a “thermodynamical” feature – the amplitude and power index of the spectrum depend mainly on the thermodynamical variable M , the inflation energy scale. Comparing this result with the observed temperature fluctuations of the cosmic microwave background, we find that both amplitude and index of the power spectrum can be fairly well fitted if $M \sim 10^{15} - 10^{16}$ GeV.

PACS number(s): 98.80.Cq, 98.80.Bp, 98.70.Vc

Typeset using REVTeX

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past decade, there has been a number of studies on dissipative processes associated with the inflaton decay during its evolution. These studies have shed light into the possible effects of the dissipative processes. For instance, it was realized that dissipation effectively slows down the rolling of the inflaton scalar field ϕ toward the true vacuum. These processes are capable of supporting the scenario of inflation [1,2]. Recently, inspired by several new developments, the problem of inflation with thermal dissipation has attracted many re-investigations.

The first progress is from the study of the non-equilibrium statistics of quantum fields, which has found that, under certain conditions, it seems to be reasonable to introduce a dissipative term (such as a friction-like term) into the equation of motion of the scalar field ϕ to describe the effect of heat contact between the ϕ field and a thermal bath. These studies shown that the thermal dissipation and fluctuation will most likely appear *during* the inflation if the inflaton is coupled to light fields [3]. However, to realize sufficient e-folds of inflation with thermal dissipation, this theory needs to introduce tens of thousands of scalar and fermion fields interacting with the inflaton in an *ad hoc* manner [4]. Namely, it is still far from a realistic model. Nevertheless, this study indicates that the condition necessary for the “standard” reheating evolution – a coupling of inflaton with light fields – is actually also the condition under which the effects of thermal dissipation during inflation should be considered.

Secondly, in the case of a thermal bath with a temperature higher than the Hawking temperature, the thermal fluctuations of the scalar field plays an important and even dominant role in producing the primordial perturbations of the universe. Based on these results, the warm inflation scenario has been proposed. In this model, the inflation epoch can smoothly evolve to a radiation-dominated epoch, without the need of a reheating stage [5,6]. Dynamical analysis of systems of inflaton with thermal dissipation [7] gives further support to this model. It is found that the warm inflation solution is very common. A rate of dissipation

as small as $10^{-7} H$, H being the Hubble parameter during inflation, can lead to a smooth exit from inflation to radiation.

Warm inflation also provides explanation to the super-Hubble suppression. The standard inflationary cosmology, which is characterized by an isentropic de Sitter expansion, predicts that the particle horizon should be much larger than the present-day Hubble radius c/H_0 . However, a spectral analysis of the COBE-DMR 4-year sky maps seems to show a lack of power in the spectrum of the primordial density perturbations on scales equal to or larger than the Hubble radius c/H_0 [8,9]. A possible explanation of this super-Hubble suppression is given by hybrid models, where the primordial density perturbations are not purely adiabatic, but mixed with an isocurvature component. The warm inflation is one of the mechanisms which can naturally produce both adiabatic and isocurvature initial perturbations [6].

In this paper, we study the power spectrum of mass density perturbations caused by inflation with thermal dissipation. One purpose of developing the model of warm inflation is to explain the amplitudes of the initial perturbations. Usually, the amplitude of initial perturbations from quantum fluctuation of the inflaton depends on some unknown parameters of the inflation potential. However, for the warm inflation model, the amplitude of the initial perturbations is found to be mainly determined by the energy scale of inflation, M . If M is taken to be about 10^{15} GeV, the possible amplitudes of the initial perturbations are found to be in a range consistent with the observations of the temperature fluctuations of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) [10]. That is, the thermally originated initial perturbations apparently do not directly depend on the details of the inflation potential, but only on some thermodynamical variables, such as the energy scale M . This result is not unexpected, because like many thermodynamical systems, the thermal properties including density fluctuations should be determined by the thermodynamical conditions, regardless of other details.

Obviously, it would be interesting to find more “thermodynamical” features which contain only observable quantities and thermodynamical parameters, as these predictions would be more useful for confronting models with observations. Guided by these considerations,

we will extend the above-mentioned qualitative estimation of the order of the density perturbations to a quantitative calculation of the power spectrum of the density perturbations. We show that the power spectrum of the warm inflation does not depend on unknown parameters of the inflaton potential and the dissipation, but only on the energy scale M . The spectrum is found to be of power law, and the index of the power law can be larger or less than 1. More interestingly, we find that for a given M , the amplitude and the index of the power law are not independent from each other. In other words, the amplitude of the power spectrum is completely determined by the power index and the number M . Comparing this result with the observed temperature fluctuations of the CMB, we find that both amplitude and index of the power spectrum can be fairly well fitted if $M \sim 10^{15} - 10^{16}$ GeV.

This paper is organized as follow: In Sec. II we discuss the evolution of the radiation component for inflationary models with dissipation prescribed by a field-dependent friction term. In particular, we scrutinize the physical conditions on which the thermal fluctuations dominate the primordial density perturbations. Section III carries out the calculations of the power spectrum of the density perturbations of the warm inflations. And finally, in Sec. IV we give the conclusions and discuss further observational tests.

II. INFLATION WITH THERMAL DISSIPATION

A. Basic equations

Let us consider a flat universe consisting of a scalar inflaton field ϕ and a thermal bath. Its dynamics is described by the following equations [5]. The equations of the expanding universe are

$$2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -\frac{8\pi}{m_{\text{Pl}}^2} \left[\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{3}\rho_r - V(\phi) \right], \quad (2.1)$$

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3} \frac{1}{m_{\text{Pl}}^2} \left[\rho_r + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \right], \quad (2.2)$$

where $H = \dot{R}/R$ is the Hubble parameter, and $m_{\text{Pl}} = \sqrt{1/G}$ the Planck mass. $V(\phi)$ is the effective potential for field ϕ , and ρ_r is the energy density of the thermal bath. Actually the scalar field ϕ is not uniform due to fluctuations. Therefore, the field ϕ in Eqs.(2.1) and (2.2) should be considered as an average over the fluctuations.

The equation of motion for scalar field ϕ in a de-Sitter universe is

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \Gamma\dot{\phi} - e^{-2Ht}\nabla^2\phi + V'(\phi) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

where the friction term $\Gamma\dot{\phi}$ describes the interaction between the ϕ field and a heat bath. Obviously, for a uniformed field, or averaged ϕ , the term $\nabla^2\phi$ of Eq. (2.3) can be ignored. Statistical mechanics of quantum open systems has shown that the interaction of quantum fields with thermal or quantum bath can be described by a general fluctuation-dissipation relation [11]. It is probably reasonable to describe the interaction between the inflaton and the heat bath as a “decay” of the inflaton [12]. These results support the idea of introducing a damping or friction term into the field equation of motion. In particular, the friction term with the form in Eq. (2.3), $\Gamma\dot{\phi}$, is a possible approximation for the dissipation of ϕ field in a heat bath environment in the near-equilibrium circumstances. In principle, Γ can be a function of ϕ . In the cases of polynomial interactions between ϕ field and bath environment, one may take the polynomial of ϕ for Γ , *i.e.*, $\Gamma = \Gamma_m\phi^m$. The friction coefficient must be positive definite, hence $\Gamma_m > 0$, and the dissipative index of friction m should be zero or even integer if $V(\phi)$ is invariant under the transformation $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$.

The equation of the radiation component (thermal bath) is given by the first law of thermodynamics as

$$\dot{\rho}_r + 4H\rho_r = \Gamma\dot{\phi}^2. \quad (2.4)$$

The temperature of the thermal bath can be calculated by $\rho_r = (\pi^2/30)g_{\text{eff}}T^4$, g_{eff} being the effective number of degrees of freedom at temperature T .

The warm inflation scenario is generally defined by a characteristic that the thermal fluctuations of the scalar field dominate over the quantum origin of the initial density perturbations. Because the thermal and quantum fluctuations of the scalar field are proportional

to T and H respectively, a necessary condition for warm inflation models is the existence of a radiation component with temperature

$$T > H \quad (2.5)$$

during the inflationary expansion. Eq. (2.5) is also necessary for maintaining the thermal equilibrium of the radiation component. In general, the time scale for the relaxation of a radiation bath is shorter for higher temperature. Accordingly, to have a relaxing time of the bath shorter than the expansion of the universe, a temperature higher than H is generally needed.

As a consequence of Eq. (2.5), warm inflation scenario requires that the solutions of Eqs. (2.1) - (2.4) should contain an inflation era, followed by smooth transition to a radiation-dominated era. Dynamical system analysis also confirmed that for a massive scalar field $V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}M^2\phi^2$, the warm inflation solution of Eqs. (2.1) - (2.4) is very common. A smooth exit from inflation to radiation era can be established even for a dissipation with Γ as small as $10^{-7}H$ [7]. A typical solution of warm inflation will be given in next section.

B. Evolution of Radiation component during inflation

Since warm inflation solution does not rely on a specific potential, we will employ the popular ϕ^4 potential commonly used for the “new” inflation models. It is

$$V(\phi) = \lambda(\phi^2 - \sigma^2)^2. \quad (2.6)$$

To have slow-roll solutions, the potential should be flat enough, *i.e.*, $\lambda \leq (M/m_{\text{Pl}})^4$, where $V(0) \equiv M^4 = \lambda\sigma^4$.

For models based on the potential of Eq. (2.6), the existence of a thermal component during inflation seems to be inevitable. In order to maintain the ϕ field close to its minimum at the onset of the inflation phase transition, a thermal force is generically necessary. In other words, there is, at least, a weak coupling between ϕ field and other fields contributing

to the thermal bath. During the slow roll period of inflation, the potential energy of the ϕ field is fairly constant, and their kinetic energy is small, so that the interaction between the ϕ field with the fields of the thermal bath remains about the same as at the beginning. As such, there is no compelling reason to ignore these interactions.

Strictly speaking, we should use a finite temperature effective potential $V(\phi, T)$. However, the correction due to finite temperature is negligible. The leading temperature correction of the potential (2.6) is $\lambda T^2 \phi^2$. On the other hand, as mentioned above, we have $\lambda \leq (M/m_{\text{Pl}})^4$ for the flatness of the potential. Therefore, $\lambda T^2 \leq M^6/m_{\text{Pl}}^4 \sim (M/m_{\text{Pl}})^2 H^2 \ll H^2$, *i.e.*, the influence of the finite temperature effective potential can be ignored when $\phi < m_{\text{Pl}}$.

Now, we try to find warm inflation solutions of Eqs. (2.1) - (2.4) for weak friction $\Gamma < H$. In this case, Eqs. (2.1) - (2.3) are actually the same as the “standard” new inflation model when

$$\rho_r \ll V(0). \quad (2.7)$$

Namely, we have the slow-roll solution as

$$\dot{\phi} \simeq -\frac{V'(\phi)}{3H + \Gamma(\phi)} \simeq \frac{V'(\phi)}{3H}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 \ll V(0), \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$H^2 \simeq H_i^2 \equiv \frac{8\pi}{3} \frac{V(0)}{m_{\text{Pl}}^2} \simeq \left(\frac{M}{m_{\text{Pl}}}\right)^2 M^2, \quad (2.10)$$

where the subscript i denotes the starting time of the inflation epoch.

During the stage of $\phi \ll \sigma$, it is reasonable to neglect the ϕ^3 term in Eq. (2.3). We have then

$$\ddot{\phi} + (3H + \Gamma)\dot{\phi} - 4\lambda\sigma^2\phi = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Considering $\Gamma < H$, an approximate solution of ϕ can immediately be found as

$$\phi = \phi_i e^{\alpha H t}, \quad (2.12)$$

where $\alpha \simeq \lambda^{1/2}(m_{\text{Pl}}/M)^2/2\pi$ and ϕ_i is the initial value of the scalar field.

Substituting solution (2.12) into Eq. (2.4), we have the general solution of (2.4) as

$$\rho_r(t) = A e^{(m+2)\alpha H t} + B e^{-4H t} \quad (2.13)$$

where $A = \alpha^2 H \Gamma_m \phi_i^{m+2}/[(m+2)\alpha + 4]$, $B = \rho_r(0) - A$, and $\rho_r(0)$ is the initial radiation density. Obviously, the term B in Eq. (2.12) describes the blowing away of the initial radiation by the inflationary exponential expansion, and the term A is due to the generation of radiation by the ϕ field decay.

According to Eq. (2.13), the evolution of the radiation has two phases. Phase 1 covers the period during which the B term is dominant, and radiation density drops drastically due to the inflationary expansion. The component of radiation evolves into phase 2 when the A term becomes dominant, where the radiation density increases due to the friction of the ϕ field. Namely, both heating and inflation are simultaneously underway in phase 2. Therefore, this phase is actually the era of inflation plus reheating.

The transition from phase 1 to phase 2 occurs at time t_b determined by $(d\rho/dt)_{t_b} = 0$. We have

$$H t_b \simeq \frac{1}{(m+2)\alpha + 4} \ln \left\{ \frac{4[(m+2)\alpha + 4]}{(m+2)\alpha^3 H} \cdot \frac{a M^4}{\Gamma_m \phi_i^{m+2}} \right\}, \quad (2.14)$$

where $a \equiv (\pi^2/30)g_{\text{eff}}$. Then the radiation density at the rebound time becomes

$$\rho_r(t_b) = \frac{1}{4} [(m+2)\alpha + 4] A \exp[(m+2)\alpha H t_b]. \quad (2.15)$$

From Eqs. (2.12) and (2.13), the radiation density in phase 2 is given by

$$\rho_r(t) = \frac{1}{4} \alpha^2 \Gamma H \phi^2(t) \simeq \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \lambda \left(\frac{m_{\text{Pl}}}{M} \right)^4 \Gamma H \phi^2(t). \quad (2.16)$$

Since $H \simeq (M/m_{\text{Pl}})M$, Eq. (2.16) can be rewritten as

$$\rho_r(t) \sim \lambda^{1/2} \left(\frac{m_{\text{Pl}}}{M} \right)^2 \frac{\Gamma}{H} \left(\frac{\phi(t)}{\sigma} \right)^2 V(0). \quad (2.17)$$

On the other hand, from (2.12), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}(t)^2 \simeq \lambda^{1/2} \left(\frac{m_{\text{Pl}}}{M}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\phi(t)}{\sigma}\right)^2 V(0). \quad (2.18)$$

Therefore, in the case of weak dissipation $\Gamma < H$, we have

$$\rho_r(t) < \dot{\phi}(t)^2/2. \quad (2.19)$$

This is consistent with the condition of inflation Eq. (2.7) when Eq. (2.9) holds.

Eqs. (2.7) and (2.9) indicate that the inflation will come to an end at time t_f when the energy density of the radiation components, or the kinetic energy of ϕ field, $\dot{\phi}^2/2$, become large enough, and comparable to $V(0)$. From Eqs. (2.17) and (2.18), t_f is given by

$$\lambda^{1/2} \left(\frac{m_{\text{Pl}}}{M}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\phi(t_f)}{\sigma}\right)^2 \simeq 1. \quad (2.20)$$

In general, at the time when the phase 2 ends, or a radiation-dominated era starts, the potential energy may not be fully exhausted yet. In this case, a non-zero potential V will remain in the radiation-dominated era, and the process of ϕ decaying into light particles is still continuing.

However, considering $\lambda^{1/2}(m_{\text{Pl}}/M)^2(\Gamma/H) < 1$, the right hand side of Eq. (2.17) will always be less than 1 when $\phi(t)$ is less than σ . This means that, for weak dissipation, phase 2 cannot terminate at $\phi(t) < \sigma$, or $V(\phi(t_f)) \neq 0$. Therefore, under weak dissipation, phase 2 will end at the time t_f when the potential energy $V(\phi)$ is completely exhausted, *i.e.*,

$$\phi(t_f) \sim \sigma. \quad (2.21)$$

This means that no non-zero V remains once the inflation exits to a radiation-dominated era, and the heating of ϕ decay also ends at t_f .

C. Temperature of radiation

From Eq. (2.13), one can find the temperature T of the radiation in phases 1 ($t < t_b$) and 2 ($t > t_b$) as

$$T(t) = \begin{cases} T_b e^{-H(t-t_b)}, & \text{if } t < t_b, \\ T_b e^{(m+2)\alpha H(t-t_b)/4}, & \text{if } t_f > t > t_b, \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$T_b = (4a)^{-1/4} [(m+2)\alpha + 4]^{1/4} A^{1/4} \exp \left[\left(\frac{m+2}{4} \right) \alpha H t_b \right]. \quad (2.23)$$

The temperature T_f at the end of phase 2 is

$$T_f = T(t_f) = T_b e^{(m+2)\alpha H(t_f-t_b)/4}, \quad (2.24)$$

where t_f is given by Eq. (2.21).

Since $T(t)$ is increasing with t in phase 2, the condition (2.5) for warm inflation can be satisfied if $T(t_f) > H$, or

$$\rho_r(t_f) > aH_i^4. \quad (2.25)$$

Using Eqs. (2.17) and (2.21), condition (2.25) is realized if

$$\frac{\Gamma}{H} > \left(\frac{\sigma}{m_{\text{Pl}}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{M}{m_{\text{Pl}}} \right)^4. \quad (2.26)$$

Namely, Γ can be as small as $10^{-12}H$ for $M \sim 10^{16}$ GeV, and $\sigma \sim 10^{19}$ GeV. Therefore, the radiation solution (2.13), or warm inflation, should be taken into account in a very wide range of dissipation

$$10^{-12}H < \Gamma < H. \quad (2.27)$$

This result is about the same as that given by dynamical system analysis [7]: a tiny friction Γ may lead the inflaton to a smooth exit directly at the end of the inflation era.

A typical solution of the evolution of radiation temperature $T(t)$ is demonstrated in Fig. 1, for which parameters are taken to be $M = 10^{15}$ GeV, $\sigma = 2.24 \cdot 10^{19}$ GeV, $\Gamma_2 = 10^{-5}H_i$ and $g_{\text{eff}} = 100$. Actually, g_{eff} -factor is a function of T in general. However, as can be seen below, the unknown function $g_{\text{eff}}(T)$ has only a slight effect on the problems under investigation. Figure 1 shows that the rebound temperature T_b can be less than H . In this

case, the evolution of $T(t)$ in phase 2 can be divided into two sectors: $T < H$ for $t < t_e$, and $T > H$ for $t > t_e$, where t_e is defined by $T(t_e) = H$. We should not consider the solution of radiation to be physical if $T < H$ since it is impossible to maintain a thermalized heat bath with the radiation temperature less than the Hawking temperature H of an expanding universe. Nevertheless, the solution (2.13) should be available if $t > t_e$. Therefore, one can only consider the period of $t_e < t < t_f$ as the epoch of the warm inflation.

Figure 1 also plots the Hubble parameter $H(t)$. The evolution of $H(t)$ is about the same as in the standard new inflation model, *i.e.*, $H(t) \sim H_i$ in both phases 1 and 2. In Fig. 1, it is evident that the inflation smoothly exits to a radiation era at t_f . The Hubble parameter $H(t)$ also evolves from the inflation $H(t) \sim \text{constant}$ to a radiation regime $H(t) \propto t^{-1}$.

The duration of the warm inflation is represented by $(t_f - t_e)$ then. The number of e -folding growth of the comoving scale factor R during the warm inflation is given by

$$N \equiv \int_{t_e}^{t_f} H dt \simeq \frac{4}{(m+2)\alpha} \ln \frac{T_f}{H}. \quad (2.28)$$

One can also formally calculate the number of e -folds of the growth in phase 2 as

$$N_2 \equiv \int_{t_b}^{t_f} H dt \simeq \frac{4}{(m+2)\alpha} \ln \frac{T_f}{T_b}, \quad (2.29)$$

and the number of e -folds of the total growth as

$$N_t \equiv \int_0^{t_f} H dt \simeq \frac{4}{(m+2)\alpha} \ln \left(\frac{T_f}{T_b} \right) + H t_b. \quad (2.30)$$

It can be found from Eqs. (2.28) - (2.30) that both N_2 and N_t depend on the initial value of the field ϕ_i via T_b , but N does not. The behavior of T at the period $t > t_e$ is completely determined by the competition between the diluting and producing radiation at $t > t_b$. Initial information about the radiation has been washed out by the inflationary expansion. Hence, the initial ϕ_i will not lead to uncertainty in our analysis if we are only concerned the problems of warm evolution at the period $t_e < t < t_f$.

III. THE PRIMORDIAL DENSITY PERTURBATIONS

A. Density fluctuations of the ϕ field

The fluctuations of ϕ field can be calculated by the similar way as stochastic inflations [14]. Recall that the coarse-grained scalar field ϕ is actually determined from the decomposition between background and high frequency modes, i.e.

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \phi(\mathbf{x}, t) + q(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the scalar field satisfying

$$\ddot{\Phi} + 3H\dot{\Phi} - e^{-2Ht}\nabla^2\Phi + V'(\Phi) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

$q(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in Eq.(3.1) contains all high frequency modes and gives rise to the thermal fluctuations. Since the mass of the field can be ignored for the high frequency modes, we have

$$q(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int d^3k W(|\mathbf{k}|) \left[a_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(t)e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}^*(t)e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right] \quad (3.3)$$

where k is comoving wave vector, and modes $\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ is given by

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \left[H\tau - i\frac{H}{k} \right] e^{-ik\tau}, \quad (3.4)$$

and $\tau = -H^{-1}\exp(-Ht)$ is the conformal time. Eq.(3.3) is appropriate in the sense that the self-coupling of the ϕ field is negligible. Considering the high frequency modes are mainly determined by the heat bath, this approximation is reasonable. The window function $W(|\mathbf{k}|)$ is properly chosen to filter out the modes at scales larger than the horizon size H^{-1} , *i.e.*, $W(k) = \theta(k - k_h(t))$, where $k_h(t) \simeq (1/\pi)H \exp(Ht)$ ¹ is the lower limit to the wavenumber of thermal fluctuations.

From Eqs.(3.1) and (3.3), with the slow-roll condition, Eq.(3.2) renders

¹The coefficient $1/\pi$ actually depends on the details of the cut-off function, which may not be step-function-like. For instance, considering causality, the cut-off function can be soft, and the longest wavelength of fluctuations can be a few times of the size of horizon [9]

$$3H\dot{\phi} - e^{-2Ht}\nabla^2\phi + V'(\Phi)|_{\Phi=\phi} = 3H\eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\eta(\mathbf{x}, t) = \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{3H}e^{-2Ht}\nabla^2 \right) q(\mathbf{x}, t). \quad (3.6)$$

Eq.(3.5) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d\phi(\mathbf{x}, t)}{dt} = -\frac{1}{3H} \frac{\delta F[\phi(\mathbf{x}, t)]}{\delta \phi} + \eta(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$F[\bar{\phi}] = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \left[\frac{1}{2}(e^{-Ht}\nabla\bar{\phi})^2 + V(\bar{\phi}) \right] \quad (3.8)$$

Eq. (3.7) is, in fact, the rate equation of the order parameter ϕ of a system with free energy $F[\phi]$. It describes the approach to equilibrium for the system during phase transition.

Using the expression of free energy (3.8), the slow-roll solution (2.8) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = -\frac{1}{3H + \Gamma} \frac{dF[\phi]}{d\phi}. \quad (3.9)$$

Hence, in the case of weak dissipation ($\Gamma < H$), Eq. (3.7) is essentially the same as the slow-roll solution (2.8) or Eq. (3.9) but with fluctuations η . The existence of the noise field ensures that the dynamical system properly approaches the global minimum of the inflaton potential $V(\phi)$. Strictly speaking, both the dissipation Γ and fluctuations η are consequences derived from $q(\mathbf{x}, t)$. They should be considered together. However, it seems to be reasonable to calculate the fluctuations alone if the dissipation is weak.

Unlike (3.2), the Langevin equation (3.7) is of first order ($\dot{\phi}$) due to the slow-roll condition. Generally, thermal fluctuations will cause both growing and decaying modes [3]². Therefore, the slow-roll condition simplifies the problem from two types of fluctuation modes to one, *i.e.*, we can directly calculate the total fluctuation as the superposition of various

²We thank the referee for pointing this problem out.

fluctuations. It has been shown [15] that during the eras of dissipations, the growth of the structures in the universe is substantially the same as surface roughening due to stochastic noise. The evolution of the noise-induced surface roughening is described by the so-called KPZ-equation [16]. Eqs.(3.5) or (3.7), which includes terms of non-linear drift plus stochastic fluctuations, is a typical KPZ-like equation.

From Eq.(3.6), the two-point correlation function of $\eta(\mathbf{x}, t)$ can be found as

$$\langle \eta(\mathbf{x}, t) \eta(\mathbf{x}', t') \rangle = \frac{H^3}{4\pi^2} \left[1 + \frac{2}{\exp(H/\pi T) - 1} \right] \frac{\sin(k_h |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|)}{k_h |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \delta(t - t'), \quad (3.10)$$

where $1/[\exp(H/\pi T) - 1]$ is the Bose factor at temperature T . Therefore, when $T > H$, we have

$$\langle \eta(\mathbf{x}, t) \eta(\mathbf{x}, t') \rangle = \frac{H^2 T}{2\pi} \delta(t - t'). \quad (3.11)$$

This result can also be directly obtained via the fluctuation-dissipation theorem [17,18]. In order to accord with the dissipation terms of Eq. (3.7), the fluctuation-dissipation theorem requires the ensemble average of η to be given by

$$\langle \eta \rangle = 0 \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$\langle \eta(\mathbf{x}, t) \eta(\mathbf{x}, t') \rangle = D \delta(t - t') . \quad (3.13)$$

The variance D is determined by

$$D = 2 \frac{1}{U} \frac{T}{3H + \Gamma} , \quad (3.14)$$

where $U = (4\pi/3)H^{-3}$ is the volume with Hubble radius H^{-1} . In the case of weak dissipation, we then recover the same result as in Eq.(3.11),

$$D = H^2 T / 2\pi. \quad (3.15)$$

When $T = H$, we obtain

$$D = \frac{H^3}{2\pi}, \quad (3.16)$$

which agrees exactly the result derived from quantum fluctuations of ϕ -field [14]. Therefore, the quantum fluctuations of inflationary ϕ field are equivalent to the thermal noises stimulated by a thermal bath with the Hawking temperature H . Eqs. (3.15) and (3.16) show that the condition (2.5) is necessary and sufficient for a warm inflation.

For long-wavelength modes, the $V'(\phi)$ term is not negligible. It may lead to a suppression of correlations on scales larger than $|V''(\phi)|^{-1/2}$. However, before the inflaton actually rolls down to the global minimum, we have $|V''(\phi \ll \sigma)|^{-1/2} \geq H^{-1}$. The so-called abnormal dissipation of density perturbations [19] may produce more longer correlation time than H . Therefore in phase 2, *i.e.*, the warm inflation phase $H < T < M$, the long-wavelength suppression will not substantially change the scenario presented above.

The fluctuations $\delta\phi$ of the ϕ field can be found from linearizing Eq. (3.7). If we only consider the fluctuations $\delta\phi$ crossing outside the horizon, *i.e.*, with wavelength $\sim H^{-1}$, the equation of $\delta\phi$ is

$$\frac{d\delta\phi}{dt} = -\frac{H^2 + V''(\phi)}{3H + \Gamma}\delta\phi + \eta. \quad (3.17)$$

For the slow-roll evolution, we have $|V''(\phi)| \ll 9H^2$ [2]. One can ignore the $V''(\phi)$ term on the right hand side of Eq. (3.17). Accordingly, the correlation function of the fluctuations is

$$\langle \delta\phi(t)\delta\phi(t') \rangle \simeq D \frac{3H + \Gamma}{2H^2} e^{-(t-t')H^2/(3H+\Gamma)}, \quad t > t', \quad (3.18)$$

hence

$$\langle (\delta\phi)^2 \rangle \sim \frac{3}{4\pi} HT \quad (3.19)$$

Thus, in the period $t_e < t < t_f$ the density perturbations on large scales are produced by the thermal fluctuations that leave the horizon with a Gaussian-distributed amplitude having a root-mean-square dispersion given by Eq. (3.19).

Principally, the problem of horizon crossing of thermal fluctuations given by Eq. (3.7) is different from the case of quantum fluctuations, because the equations of H and $\dot{H}$, (2.1) and (2.2) contain terms in ρ_r . However, these terms are insignificant for weak dissipation [Eq. (2.19)] in phase 2. Thus Eqs.(2.1) and (2.2) depend only nominally on the evolution of ρ_r . Accordingly, for weak dissipation, the behavior of thermal fluctuations at horizon crossing can be treated by the same way as the evolutions of quantum fluctuations in stochastic inflation. In that theory, quantum fluctuations of inflaton are assumed to become classical upon horizon crossing and act as stochastic forces. Obviously, this assumption is not necessary for thermal fluctuations. Moreover, we will show that in phase 2 the thermal stochastic force HT is contingent upon the comoving scale of perturbations by a power law [Eqs. (2.21) and (3.21)], and therefore the power spectrum of the thermal fluctuations obeys the power law. This make it more easier to estimate the constraint quantity in the super-horizon regime.

Accordingly, the density perturbations at the horizon re-entry epoch are characterized by [2]

$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_h = \frac{-\delta\phi V'(\phi)}{\dot{\phi}^2 + (4/3)\rho_r}. \quad (3.20)$$

All quantities in the right-hand side of Eq. (3.20) are calculated at the time when the relevant perturbations cut across the horizon at the inflationary epoch.

Using the solutions of ϕ and ρ_r of warm inflation (2.12) and (2.13), Eq. (3.20) gives

$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_h \simeq \left(\frac{5 \cdot 3^{3m/2+4}}{2^{m+3} \cdot \pi^{m/2+3}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m+2}} \cdot \left(\frac{\gamma_m}{g_{\text{eff}}\alpha^m}\right)^{\frac{1}{m+2}} \left(\frac{T}{H}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{m-6}{m+2}\right)}, \quad (3.21)$$

where the dimensionless parameter $\gamma_m \equiv \Gamma_m H^{m-1}$, and T is the temperature at the time when the considered perturbations $\delta\rho_r$ crossing out of the horizon $H^{-1} \sim H_i^{-1}$. Eq. (3.21) shows that the density perturbations are insensitive to the g_{eff} -factor.

B. Power law index

Since inflation is immediately followed by the radiation dominated epoch, the comoving scale of a perturbation with crossing over (the Hubble radius) at time t is given by

$$\frac{k}{H_0} = 2\pi \frac{H}{H_0} \frac{T_0}{T_f} e^{H(t-t_f)}, \quad (3.22)$$

where T_0 and H_0 are the present CMB temperature and Hubble constant respectively. Eq. (3.22) shows that the smaller t is, the smaller k will be. This is the so-called “first out - last in” of the evolution of density perturbations produced by the inflation.

Using Eqs. (2.22) and (3.22), the perturbations (3.21) can be rewritten as

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \right)^2 \right\rangle_h \propto k^{(m-6)\alpha/4}, \quad \text{if } k > k_e, \quad (3.23)$$

where k_e is the wavenumber of perturbations crossing out of horizon at t_e . It is

$$k_e = 2\pi H \frac{T_0}{T_f} e^{H(t_e-t_f)} \simeq 2\pi H \frac{T_0}{T_f} e^{-N}. \quad (3.24)$$

Therefore, the primordial density perturbations produced during warm inflation are of power law with an index $(m-6)\alpha/4$. We may also express the power spectrum of the density perturbations at a given time t . It is

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \right)^2 \right\rangle_t \propto k^{3+n}, \quad \text{if } k > k_e, \quad (3.25)$$

where the spectral index n is

$$n = 1 + \left(\frac{m-6}{4} \right) \alpha. \quad (3.26)$$

Clearly, for $m = 6$, the warm inflation model generates a flat power spectrum $n = 1$, yet the power spectrums will be tilted for $m \neq 6$. The dissipation models $\Gamma = \Gamma_m \phi^m$ may not be realistic for higher m , but we will treat m like a free parameter in order to show that the results we concerned actually are not very sensitive to these parameters.

The warm inflation scenario requires that all perturbations on comoving scales equal to or less than the present Hubble radius originate in the period of warm inflation. Hence, the longest wavelength of the perturbations (3.24), *i.e.*, $2\pi/k_e$, should be larger than the present Hubble radius H_0^{-1} . We have then

$$N > \ln \left(\frac{HT_0}{H_0 T_f} \right) = \ln \left(\frac{T_0}{H_0} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{T_f}{H} \right) \sim 55, \quad (3.27)$$

where we have used $(T_0/H_0) \gg (T_f/H)$, as $T_f \leq M$. Using Eq. (2.28), the condition (3.27) gives an upper bound to α for a given m as

$$\alpha_{\max} = \left(\frac{4}{m+2} \right) \frac{\ln(T_f/H)}{\ln(T_0/H_0)}. \quad (3.28)$$

Thus, the possible area of the index n can be found from Eq. (3.27) as

$$n = \begin{cases} 1 - (6-m)\alpha_{\max}/4 \text{ to } 1, & \text{if } m < 6, \\ 1 \text{ to } 1 + (m-6)\alpha_{\max}/4, & \text{if } m > 6. \end{cases} \quad (3.29)$$

Therefore, the power spectrum is positive-titled (*i.e.*, $n > 1$) if $m > 6$, and negative-titled ($n < 1$) if $m < 6$. Figure 2 plots the allowed area of n as a function of the inflation mass scale M . Apparently, for $M \geq 10^{16}$ GeV, the tilt $|n - 1|$ should not be larger than about 0.15 regardless of the values of m from 2 to 12.

C. Amplitudes of perturbations

To calculate the amplitude of the perturbations we rewrite spectrum (3.25) into

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \right)^2 \right\rangle_h = A \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^{n-1}, \quad \text{if } k > k_e, \quad (3.30)$$

where $k_0 = 2\pi H_0$. A is the spectrum amplitude normalized on scale $k = k_0$, corresponding to the scale on which the perturbations re-enter the Hubble radius $1/H_0$ at present time. From Eqs. (3.21), and (3.23), we have

$$A = \left(\frac{5 \cdot 3^{3m/2+4}}{2^{m+3} \cdot \pi^{m/2+3}} \right)^{\frac{2}{m+2}} \left(\frac{\gamma_m}{g_{\text{eff}} \alpha^m} \right)^{\frac{2}{m+2}} \left(\frac{H_0 T_f}{H T_0} \right)^{n-1} \left(\frac{T}{H} \right)^{\frac{m-6}{m+2}} e^{(n-1)H(t_f-t)}. \quad (3.31)$$

Applying Eq. (2.21), the radiation temperature at the moment of horizon-crossing, t , can be expressed as $T(t) = T_f \exp[(m+2)\alpha H(t-t_f)/4]$. With the help of Eq. (2.28), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{T}{H} \right)^{\frac{m-6}{m+2}} \left(\frac{T_f}{H} \right)^{n-1} e^{(n-1)H(t_f-t)} = \exp \left\{ (n-1) \left[1 + \left(\frac{m+2}{4} \alpha \right) \right] N \right\}. \quad (3.32)$$

On the other hand, using Eqs. (2.20), (2.23) and (2.28), one has

$$\gamma_m = \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^{1-\frac{m}{2}} \cdot \frac{g_{\text{eff}}}{30} \left(\frac{M}{m_{\text{Pl}}} \right)^{2m} \alpha^{-3-\frac{m}{2}}. \quad (3.33)$$

Substituting Eqs. (3.32) and (3.33) into Eq. (3.31), we have finally

$$A = \left(\frac{3^{4-m}}{64\pi^{3+\frac{m}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{m+2}} \cdot \left(\frac{M}{m_{\text{Pl}}} \right)^{\frac{4m}{m+2}} \left(\frac{H_0}{T_0} \right)^{n-1} \alpha^{-3} \exp \left\{ (n-1) \left[1 + \left(\frac{m+2}{4} \right) \alpha \right] N \right\}. \quad (3.34)$$

Eq. (3.34) shows that the amplitude A does not contain the unknown g_{eff} -factor. Moreover, α can be expressed by n and m through Eq. (3.26), and N can be expressed by α and M via Eq. (2.28). Therefore, the amplitude of the initial density perturbations, A , is only a function of M , n , and m .

Figures 3 and 4 plot the relations between the amplitude A and index n for various parameters M and m . In the case of $m = 6$, $n = 1$, the relation of A and α is plotted in Fig. 5. It can be seen from Figs. 3, 4 and 5 that for either $m \geq 6$ or $m < 6$, the amplitude A is significantly dependent on M , but not so sensitive to m . Namely, the testable A - n relationship is mainly determined by a thermodynamical variable, the energy scale M . This is a “thermodynamical” feature. The relationship between A and N plotted in Figs. 6 and 7 also show this kind of “thermodynamical” feature: the A - N relation depends mainly on M .

For comparison, the observed results of A and n derived from the 4-year COBE-DMR data (quadrupole moment $Q_{rms-PS} \sim 15.3^{+3.7}_{-2.8} \mu K$ and $n \sim 1.2 \pm 0.3$ [10]) are plotted in Figs. 3, 4 and 5. The observationally allowed A - n range is generally in a good agreement with the predicted A - n curve if $M \sim 10^{15} - 10^{16}$ GeV, regardless the parameter m . Figures 3 and 4 also indicate that if the tilt of spectrum $|n - 1|$ is larger than 0.1, the parameter area of $M \leq 10^{14}$ GeV will be ruled out. Therefore, the warm inflation seems to fairly well reconcile the initial perturbations with the energy scale of the inflation.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

Assuming that the inflaton ϕ -field undergoes a dissipative process with $\Gamma \dot{\phi}^2$, we have studied the power spectrum of the mass density perturbations. In this analysis, we have employed the popular ϕ^4 potential. However, only one parameter, the mass scale of the inflation M , is found to be important in predicting the observable features of power spectrum,

i.e., the amplitude A and index n . Actually, the warm inflation scenario is based on two thermodynamical requirements: (a) the existence of a thermalized heat bath during inflation, and (b) that the initial fluctuations are given by the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. Therefore, we believe that the “thermodynamical” features – A and n depend only on M – would be generic for the warm inflation. This feature is useful for model testing. Hence, the warm inflation can be employed as an effective working model when more precise data about the observable quantities A , n etc. become available. The current observed data of A and n from CMB are consistent with the warm inflation scenario if the mass scale M of the inflation is in the range of $10^{15} - 10^{16}$ GeV.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank an anonymous referee for a detailed report that improved the presentation of the paper. Wolung Lee would like to thank Hung Jung Lu for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Albrecht, P. J. Steinhardt, M. S. Turner and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1437 (1982); J. Yokoyama and K. Maeda, Phys. Lett. **207B**, 31 (1988).
- [2] For a review of the standard inflationary cosmology, please see E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, *The Early Universe* (Addison-Wesley, New York, NY, 1990).
- [3] M. Gleiser and R. O. Ramos, Phys. Rev. **D50**, 2441 (1994); M. Morikawa, Phys. Rev. **D33**, 3607 (1986); D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman, D.-S. Lee and A. Singh, Phys. Rev. **D51**, 4419 (1995).
- [4] A. Berera, M. Gleiser and R.O. Ramos, hep-ph/9803394, Phys. Rev. D. in press(1998); J. Yokoyama and A. Linde, hep-ph/9809409 (1998).
- [5] A. Berera and L.Z. Fang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1912 (1995); A. Berera, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3218 (1995).
- [6] W. Lee and L.Z. Fang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D6**, 305 (1997).
- [7] H. P. de Oliveira and R. O. Ramos, Phys. Rev. **D57**, 741 (1998).
- [8] Y.P. Jing and L.Z. Fang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1882 (1994); L.Z. Fang and Y.P. Jing, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A11**, 1531 (1996)
- [9] A. Berera, L.Z. Fang and G. Hinshaw, Phys. Rev. **D57**, 2207 (1998).
- [10] C. L. Bennett, *et al.* Astrophys. J. **464**, L1 (1996).
- [11] U. Weiss, *Quantum Dissipative Systems* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1993.)
- [12] D. Boyanovsky, R. Holman and S. P. Kumar, Phys. Rev **D56**, 1958 (1997).
- [13] See, for example, N. Goldenfeld, *Lectures on Phase Transitions and the Renormalization Group* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1992).
- [14] A.A. Starobinsky, in *Fundamental Interactions* (MGPI Press, Moscow, 1984), p. 55; S.

- J. Rey, Nucl. Phys. **B284**, 706 (1987); M. Saaski, Y. Nambu and K. Nakao, Nucl. Phys. **B308**, 868, (1988); A. Hosaya, M. Morikawa, and K. Nakayama, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A4**, 2613 (1989); D.S. Salopek and J.R. Bond, Phys. Rev. **43D**, 1005 (1991) and references therein.
- [15] A. Berera and L.Z. Fang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 458 (1994).
- [16] M. Kardar, G. Parisi, and Y. C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 889 (1986).
- [17] P.C. Hohenberg and B.I. Halperin, Rev. Mod. Phys. **49**, 435 (1977).
- [18] B.L. Hu and A. Matacz, Phys. Rev. **D51**, 1577 (1995); A. Matacz, Phys. Rev. **D55**, 1860, (1997).
- [19] T.R. Kirkpatrick and D. Belitz, J. Stat. Phys. **87**, 1307 (1997).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. A typical solutions of the evolutions of ϕ field and radiations in warm inflation in which $\Gamma = \Gamma_2\phi^2$, $V(\phi) = \lambda(\phi^2 - \sigma^2)^2$ and $\lambda\sigma^4 = M^4$, M being the inflaton energy scale. The parameters are taken to be $M = 10^{15}\text{GeV}$, $\sigma = 2.24 \cdot 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$, $\Gamma_2 = 10^{-5}H_i$ and $g_{\text{eff}} = 100$. The dot-dashed and solid lines are for $H(t)$ and the radiation temperature T respectively. t_b is the time at which the temperature rebound (T_b) and t_e the time of $T = H$. The inflation ends at t_f when the temperature is T_f . T and H are in units of $H_i \equiv [8\pi V(0)/3m_{\text{Pl}}^2]^{1/2}$, and t is in units of H_i^{-1} .

FIG. 2. The allowed area of power law index n as a function of the mass scale M for various m . For given M and m , the possible n should lie between the line $n = 1$ and the corresponding curve of m . For $m = 6$, the only solution is $n = 1$.

FIG. 3. The amplitudes of the power spectrum as a function of n in the area of $n < 1$. The mass scales M are labeled at the curves. The dotted, dashed, and solid lines are for $m = 0, 2$ and 4 respectively. All curves end at the points when the corresponding warm inflation durations N are less than 55. The region within the dot-dashed box is the allowed area of (n, A) given by the 4-year COBE-DMR data.

FIG. 4. The same as Fig. 3, but for $n > 1$. The dotted, dashed, and solid lines are for $m = 8, 10$ and 12 respectively.

FIG. 5. The relation A and α for $m = 6$. In this case, $n = 1$. The dot-dashed line represents the COBE-DMR data at $n = 1$ and $Q_{\text{rms-PS}} = 15.3\mu K$, *i.e.*, $A \simeq 3.5 \times 10^{-6}$.

FIG. 6. The amplitudes of the power spectrum as a function of the thermal duration N at three inflaton mass scales M and in the range of $n < 1$. The dotted, dotted and dashed lines are for $m = 0, 2$ and 4 respectively.

FIG. 7. The same as Fig. 6, but for $n \geq 1$. The dotted, dotted and dashed lines are for $m = 8, 10$ and 12 respectively.













